

Women and men are commonly seen as having different strengths and weaknesses. Is it right to exclude male or female from certain professions because of their gender?

Men and women commonly have different strengths and weaknesses. Because of that some people have different opinions about professions that would be done with men or women. Some of them say women must be employed as a housewife or in a special works, but for others the type of the gender is not as a factor in job selection. It seems that the second opinion is more reasonable, but it would be confined to cost optimization.

Rationally it is recommended that job selection would be done upon the person's abilities and it isn't related to the gender specifications. For example, selection of an athlete who hasn't had hadn't have been any experience with on management can't be reasonable to be chosen as a mayor. Like this males and females in some occupations have very extreme wide weaknesses, so they can't have any success in that profession. A father can't make up for fitful the mother's kindness vacuum vacancies for a child, as an example.

Any culture or and any country has have some exceptions in jobs for men and women, so that people are better off have more relaxation which is related to the gender specifications. But it isn't illegal for the opposite sex to be occupied in it.

Overall, ; job occupation mustn't be banned because of the gender for men and women, but success and cost optimization in some jobs are connected to the gender specifications, so. So in some jobs men are dominated and in others s are women. are outnumber.